FHED.

2008 JAN - 3 PM 2: 24

U.S. EPA, REGION IX REGIONAL HEARING CLERK

PATRICIA M. O'TOOLE, State Bar No. 107192 THE O'TOOLE LAW FIRM

P. O. Box 352348

Los Angeles, California 90035-0260

Telephone: (213) 630-4200 Facsimile: (213) 683-1148 Attorney for Respondent U.S. POLE COMPANY, INC.

26

27

28

BEFORE THE UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY **REGION IX**

In the Matter of:

U.S. POLE COMPANY, INC.,

Respondent

Docket No. CAA-09-2007-0031

NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO EXTEND TIME TO ANSWER COMPLAINT AND REQUEST OPPORTUNITY FOR HEARING; DECLARATION OF PATRICIA M. O'TOOLE

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Respondent U.S. Pole Company, Inc. will move the Regional Judicial Officer of the United States Environmental Protection Agency -Region IX to enter an Order further extending the time for Respondent (i) to answer the complaint filed by the United States Environmental Protection Agency - Region IX ("EPA-IX") in this matter and (ii) to request a hearing, for an additional 30 days until February 6, 2008.

This Motion is brought pursuant to 40 CFR §22.7(b), governing motions concerning extensions of time, and is timely thereunder as the Complaint in this matter was served on October 9, 2007, and Respondent's answer and request for hearing is due on January 7, 2008.

Good cause exists for granting the requested extension of time in this case because (1) EPA-IX and Respondent have been engaged in settlement discussions since the service of the Complaint; (2) on November 6, 2007, EPA-IX and Respondent reached

1 an agreement in principle on the terms of a settlement of all allegations set forth in the 2 Complaint, including the monetary component of such settlement; (3) the parties have 3 been working collaboratively and diligently on issues relating to assurance of Respondent's continued compliance with and/or exemption from the requirements of 40 4 CFR 63.1500 et seq. in the future, including the testing of various compliance options, 5 but need additional time to finalize the details of a plan for compliance assurance under 6 the particular circumstances of Respondent's operations; (4) the parties also need additional time to document the settlement properly and to obtain all required 8 approvals; and (5) requiring Respondent to prepare and file an answer and request for 9 hearing by January 7, 2008 would, under these circumstances, impose an unnecessary 10 burden on Respondent and require an unnecessary allocation of resources for both 11 Respondent and EPA-IX to prepare for a hearing that is unlikely to occur. 12 Therefore, Respondent is moving for a further extension of 30 days, until 13 February 6, 2008, to file an answer and request a hearing in this matter. 14 On December 26, 2007, counsel for EPA-IX, Mr. Daniel Reich, contacted 15

On December 26, 2007, counsel for EPA-IX, Mr. Daniel Reich, contacted Respondent's counsel to discuss this Motion, and Mr. Reich confirmed that EPA-IX concurs in Respondent's motion for an additional 30-day extension of time to answer the Complaint and request a hearing.

This Motion is based on this Notice, the attached Declaration of Patricia M.

O'Toole, all pleadings and papers on file in this action, and on such further evidence and arguments as may be presented in any hearing on this Motion.

January 2, 2008

THE O'TOOLE LAW FIRM

BY:

Patricia M. O'Toole

Attorney for Respondent U.S. Pole Company, Inc.

2627

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

28

DECLARATION OF PATRICIA M. O'TOOLE

I. Patricia M. O'Toole declare:

- 1. I am an attorney admitted to practice before all the Courts of the State of California, the United States District Court for the Central District of California, and the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, and I am the attorney representing the Respondent, U.S. Pole Company, Inc. ("Respondent"), in this matter. I am familiar with the following facts from my personal observations and experience and, if called as a witness, I would and could testify as follows:
- 2. The United States Environmental Protection Agency Region IX ("EPA-IX") served a Complaint in this matter on U.S. Pole on October 9, 2007.
- 3. EPA-IX and Respondent have been engaged in settlement discussions since the service of the Complaint.
- 4. On November 6, 2007, EPA-IX and Respondent reached an agreement in principle on the terms of a settlement of all allegations set forth in the Complaint, including the monetary component of such settlement.
- 5. The parties have been working collaboratively and diligently on issues relating to assurance of Respondent's continued compliance with and/or exemption from the requirements of 40 CFR 63.1500 et seq. in the future, including the testing of various compliance options to ensure that only "clean charge" (as defined in 40 CFR 63.1500 et seq.) is used in Respondent's operations. However, the parties need additional time to finalize the details of a plan for compliance assurance under the particular circumstances of Respondent's operations.
- 6. The parties also need additional time to document the settlement properly and to obtain all required approvals.
- 7. Completion of the plan for compliance assurance and proper documentation of the settlement, including any administrative order, will require approximately 30 additional days.
- 8. Requiring Respondent to prepare and file an answer and request for hearing by

1	January 7, 2008 would, under these circumstances, impose an unnecessary burden on
2	Respondent and require an unnecessary allocation of resources for both Respondent and
3	EPA-IX to prepare for a hearing that is unlikely to occur.
4	9. On December 26, 2007, counsel for EPA-IX, Mr. Daniel Reich, contacted
5	Respondent's counsel to discuss this Motion, and Mr. Reich confirmed that EPA-IX
6	concurs in Respondent's motion for an additional 30-day extension of time to answer the
7	Complaint and request a hearing.
8	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that
9	the foregoing is true and correct.
10	Executed this 2 nd day of January 2008 at Los Angeles, California.
11	At horizon
12	Patricia M. O'Toole
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

PROOF OF SERVICE

United States Environmental Protection Agency Region IX

IN RE U.S. POLE COMPANY, INC. Docket No. CAA-09-2007-0031

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and am not a party to the within action. My business address is The O'Toole Law Firm, P. O. Box 352348, Los Angeles, California 90035-0260.

On November 6, 2007, I served the foregoing documents described as **Notice of Motion and Motion to Extend Time to Answer Complaint and Request Opportunity for Hearing; Declaration of Patricia M. O'Toole** on the following parties and interested persons at the following addresses:

Daniel Reich, Esq.
Office of Regional Counsel
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency – Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street, ORC-2
San Francisco, CA 94105

BY OVERNIGHT COURIER: I placed a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope and deposited such envelope with Federal Express at Los Angeles, California, with delivery charges thereon fully prepaid.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed on January 2, 2008 at Los Angeles, California.

Patricia M. O'Toole

SIGNATURE: Datucia M. O Tole